arXiv:1401.5884v1 [math.DS] 23 Jan 2014

Existence of a lower bound for the distance between point masses of relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, $k \geq 3$.

Pieter Tibboel*

January 24, 2014

Abstract

We prove that if for the curved n-body problem in $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, $k \geq 3$, the masses are given, the minimum distance between the point masses of a specific type of relative equilibrium solution that is a generalisation of positive elliptic relative equilibria and positive elliptic-elliptic relative equilibria has a universal lower bound that is not equal to zero.

* Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
Email: ptibboel@cityu.edu.hk

1 Introduction.

By *n*-body problems we mean problems where the goal is to find the motion of *n* point particles. Relative equilibria are solutions to such problems where the point particles represent rotating configurations of fixed size and shape. The Newtonian *n*-body problem is the problem of finding the dynamics of *n* point particles in Euclidean space under the influence of Newton's gravitational law and the *n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature* is an extension of that *n*-body problem into spaces of nonzero, constant Gaussian curvature, which means that the space is either spherical (if the curvature is positive), or hyperbolical (if the curvature is negative) (see [13], [14] and [15]).

Research into *n*-body problems for spaces of constant Gaussian curvature goes back as far as the 1830s, when Bolyai and Lobachevsky (see [1] and [24] respectively) independently proposed a curved 2-body problem in hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$. Since then, *n*-body problems in spaces of constant Gaussian curvature have been investigated by mathematicians such as Dirichlet, Schering (see [25], [26]), Killing (see [17], [18], [19]), Liebmann (see [21], [22], [23]) and Kozlov and Harin (see [20]). However, the succesful study of *n*-body problems in spaces of constant Gaussian curvature for the case that $n \geq 2$ began with [13], [14], [15] by Diacu, Pérez-Chavela and Santoprete. After this breakthrough, further results for the $n \geq 2$ case were then obtained by Cariñena, Rañada, Santander (see [2]), Diacu (see [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]), Diacu, Kordlou (see [10]), Diacu, Pérez-Chavela (see [11]), Diacu, Thorn (see [16]) and Tibboel (see [29], [30], [31]). For a more detailed historical overview, please see [4], [5], [6], [8], [10], or [13].

For the Newtonian *n*-body problem, M. Shub proved that if the masses of the *n*-body problem are known, then the set of all equivalence classes of relative equilibria (an equivalence class here being all relative equilibria that are equivalent under rotation and scalar multiplication) that exist for those masses is compact. As a direct consequence, Shub proved that there exists a universal nonzero lower bound for the distance between the point particles of each such a relative equilibrium if both the masses and the angular velocity (i.e. the speed at which a relative equilibrium rotates) are fixed (see [27]). Shub's results were a potential first step in what may lead to a proof of the famous sixth Smale problem (see [28]) which states a stronger result, namely that such sets of equivalence classes of relative equilibria are in fact, finite.

In [31], Shub's results were proven for a class of relative equilibria that include all relative equilibria in the spaces $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$ and a subclass of the positive elliptic relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^3$ as defined in [5] and a subclass of the negative elliptic relative equilibria in $\mathbb{H}^3$ as defined in [5]. In this paper, we will prove Shub's results for a generalisation of the classes of positive elliptic relative equilibria and positive elliptic-elliptic relative equilibria (see [5]) in $\mathbb{S}^3$ and as a consequence prove Shub's results for all classes of relative equilibria in $\mathbb{S}^3$. To that extent, we will first formulate the system of differential equations that describes the *n*-body problem we will consider in this paper, after which we will specify our main theorem:

In [6] and [10] it was shown that the *n*-body problem in spaces of constant curvature is equivalent with the *n*-body problem in spaces of constant curvature for which it is assumed that the curvature is equal to either $+1$, or -1. The *n*-body problem in spaces of constant

curvature can then be described, defining the space

$$\mathbb{M}_{\sigma}^{k-1} = \{(x_1, ..., x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k | x_1^2 + ... + x_{k-1}^2 + \sigma x_k^2 = \sigma\},$$

where σ equals either $+1$, or -1 and for $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_{\sigma}^{k}$

$$x \odot_k y = x_1 y_1 + ... + x_{k-1} y_{k-1} + \sigma x_k y_k,$$

following [13], [14], [15], [5] and [10], as the system of differential equations

$$\ddot{q}_i = \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j(q_j - \sigma(q_i \odot_k q_j)q_i)}{(\sigma - \sigma(q_i \odot_k q_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \sigma(\dot{q}_i \odot_k \dot{q}_i)q_i,\ i \in \{1, ..., n\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where q_1,..., $q_n \in \mathbb{M}_{\sigma}^{k-1}$ are the coordinate vectors of the n point particles of the problem with respective masses m_1,...,m_n, $k \geq 2$. However, as we are considering relative equilibria on $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, we may assume that $\sigma = 1$ and thus the inner product becomes the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ on $\mathbb{R}^k$.
With our n-body problem in place, our next step is to formulate the class of relative equilibria we will use in this paper:

Let

$$T(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

and let I be the 2×2 identity matrix.
Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = (A_1, ..., A_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Let

$$T_k(At) = \begin{pmatrix} T(A_1 t) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & T(A_p t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } k = 2p$$

$$\text{and } T_k(At) = \begin{pmatrix} T(A_1 t) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & T(A_p t) & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } k = 2p+1.$$

Then if Q_1,...,$Q_n \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, we call any solution $q_1(t) = T_k(At)Q_1$,...,$q_n(t) = T_k(At)Q_n$ of (1.1) a *relative equilibrium*. Let $\| \cdot \|_k$ be the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^k$. We will prove that

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a $c > 0$ such that $\|Q_i - Q_j\|_k > c$ for all $i,\ j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $i \neq j$, for all relative equilibrium solutions $q_1(t) = T_k(At)Q_1$,...,$q_n(t) = T_k(At)Q_n$ of (1.1) if A and m_1,...,m_n are given.*

Before we can prove Theorem 1.1, we will need to introduce further terminology and prove a crucial lemma, which will be done in section 2. Theorem 1.1 will then subsequently be proved in section 3.

2 Background theory

In this section we will introduce further terminology and a lemma needed to prove Theorem 1.1:

Define for $A=(A_1,...,A_p)\in\mathbb{R}^p$

$$\mathbf{A}_k=\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & A_1 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_p & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } k=2p \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_k=\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & A_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_p & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & A_p & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } k=2p+1.$$

Then

Lemma 2.1. *If $q_1(t)=T_k(At)Q_1$,...,$q_n(t)=T_k(At)Q_n$ is a relative equilibrium solution of (1.1), then*

$$-\mathbf{A}^2Q_i=\sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{n}\frac{m_j(Q_j-\langle Q_i,Q_j\rangle Q_i)}{(1-\langle Q_i,Q_j\rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\|\mathbf{A}Q_i\|_k^2Q_i,\ i\in\{1,...,n\},\tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Inserting $q_i(t)=T_k(At)Q_i$, $q_j(t)=T_k(At)Q_j$ into (1.1) and using that $(T_k(At))''=-\mathbf{A}^2T_k(At)$ and $(T(A_lt))'=A_lT(A_lt)$, $l\in\{1,...,p\}$ and that for $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^k$ $\langle T_k(At)x,T_k(At)y\rangle=\langle x,y\rangle$ gives

$$-\mathbf{A}^2T_k(At)Q_i=\sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{n}\frac{m_j(T_k(At)Q_j-\langle Q_i,Q_j\rangle T_k(At)Q_i)}{(1-\langle Q_i,Q_j\rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\|\mathbf{A}Q_i\|_k^2T_k(At)Q_i,\ i\in\{1,...,n\}.\tag{2.2}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.2) from the left with $T(-At)$ then gives (2.1). □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Assume the contrary to be true. Then there exist relative equilibrium solutions $q_{1p}=T_k(At)Q_{1p}$,...,$q_{np}=T_k(At)Q_{np}$, $p\in\mathbb{N}$, for which there exist i_1, $i_2\in\{1,...,n\}$, i_1, i_2 such that $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|Q_{i_1p}-Q_{i_2p}\|_k=0$. Renumbering the $\{Q_{ip}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ in terms of i if necessary, we may assume that $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|Q_{ip}-Q_{jp}\|_k=0$ for $i,\ j\in\{1,...,l\}$, $l\leq n$ and $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|Q_{ip}-Q_{jp}\|_k\neq 0$ if $i\in\{1,...,l\}$ and $j\notin\{1,...,l\}$. Because of Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$-\mathbf{A}^2Q_{1p}=\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{m_j(Q_{jp}-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle Q_{1p})}{(1-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\|\mathbf{A}Q_{1p}\|_k^2Q_{1p},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$-\mathbf{A}^2Q_{1p}=\sum_{j=2}^{l}\frac{m_j(Q_{jp}-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle Q_{1p})}{(1-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\sum_{j=l+1}^{n}\frac{m_j(Q_{jp}-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle Q_{1p})}{(1-\langle Q_{1p},Q_{jp}\rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\|\mathbf{A}Q_{1p}\|_k^2Q_{1p}.\tag{3.1}$$

As $Q_{1p} \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$, there exists a rotation matrix R_p such that

$$R_p Q_{1p} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} =: e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.1) with R_p then gives, as R_p is a rotation matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} -R_p \mathbf{A}^2 R_p^{-1} e_1 = &\sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_j (R_p Q_{jp} - \langle e_1, R_p Q_{jp} \rangle e_1)}{(1 - \langle e_1, R_p Q_{jp} \rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j (R_p Q_{jp} - \langle e_1, R_p Q_{jp} \rangle e_1)}{(1 - \langle e_1, R_p Q_{jp} \rangle^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \| \mathbf{A} R_p^{-1} e_1 \|_k^2 e_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We will write for notational convenience

$$R_p Q_{jp} =: V_{jp} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{jp1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{jpk} \end{pmatrix}, \widehat{V}_{jp} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{jp2} \\ \vdots \\ V_{jpk} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

and let $W_p \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ be the $k-1$-dimensional vector that consists of the second until the kth coordinate of $-R_p \mathbf{A}^2 R_p^{-1} e_1$.
Leaving out the identity for the first coordinate of the vectors in (3.2), we then get, as all coordinates of e_1 save the first one are equal to zero,

$$W_p = \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that by construction $\|W_p\|_{k-1} \leq \|e_1\|_k = 1$ and that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{k-1}$$

is bounded, because $\|\widehat{V}_{jp}\|_{k-1} \leq 1$ and for $j \geq l+1$ $1 - V_{jp1}^2$ does not go to zero, because $V_{jp} - e_1$ does not go to zero for $j \geq l+1$. Thus, subtracting from both sides of (3.3) the term

$$\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

we get

$$W_p - \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1 - V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.4}$$

If we now take the squared norms of both sides of (3.4), we get

$$\left\| W_p - \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{k-1}^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{k-1}^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{l} \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_i m_j \langle \widehat{V}_{ip}, \widehat{V}_{jp} \rangle}{(1-V_{ip1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.5}$$

If we then let α_{ijp} be the angle between $\widehat{V}_{ip}$ and $\widehat{V}_{jp}$, (3.5) gives

$$\left\| W_p - \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{k-1}^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{l} \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_i m_j \|\widehat{V}_{ip}\|_{k-1} \|\widehat{V}_{jp}\|_{k-1} \cos \alpha_{ijp}}{(1-V_{ip1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that $\|\widehat{V}_{jp}\|_{k-1}^2 = V_{jp2}^2 + \ldots + V_{jpk}^2 = 1 - V_{jp1}^2$ and that for p large enough, $\cos \alpha_{ijp} > \frac{1}{2}$. Combining these facts with (3.6) then means

$$\left\| W_p - \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} \frac{m_j \widehat{V}_{jp}}{(1-V_{jp1}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\|_{k-1}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{l} \sum_{j=2}^{l} \frac{m_i m_j}{(1-V_{ip1}^2)(1-V_{jp1}^2)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Now the left-hand side of (3.7) is bounded, yet the right-hand side of (3.7) is unbounded. This is a contradiction and completes our proof. □

References

[1] W. Bolyai and J. Bolyai, *Geometrische Untersuchungen*, Hrsg. P. Stäckel, Teubner, Leipzig-Berlin, 1913.

[2] J.F. Cariñena, M.F. Rañada and M. Santander, Central potentials on spaces of constant curvature: The Kepler problem on the two-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005), 052702.

[3] F. Diacu, On the singularities of the curved *n*-body problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 2249-2264.

[4] F. Diacu, Polygonal homographic orbits of the curved *n*-body problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**, 5 (2012), 2783-2802.

[5] F. Diacu, Relative equilibria in the 3-dimensional curved n-body problem, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **228**, 1071 (2013).

[6] F. Diacu, *Relative Equilibria of the Curved N-Body Problem*, Atlantis Studies in Dynamical Systems, vol. 1, Atlantis Press, Amsterdam, 2012.

[7] F. Diacu, The non-existence of centre-of-mass and linear-momentum integrals in the curved *n*-body problem, arXiv:1202.4739, 12 p.

[8] F. Diacu, The curved N-body problem: risks and rewards, *Math. Intelligencer* **35**, 3 (2013), 24–33.

[9] F. Diacu, R. Martínez, E. Pérez-Chavela, Carles Simó, On the stability of tetrahedral relative equilibria in the positively curved 4-body problem, *Phys. D* **256**-**257** (2013), 21-35.

[10] F. Diacu, S. Kordlou, Rotopulsators of the curved N-body problem, arXiv:1210.4947v2, 40 p.

[11] F. Diacu and E. Pérez-Chavela, Homographic solutions of the curved 3-body problem, *J. Differential Equations* **250** (2011) 340–366.

[12] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela, J. Guadalupe Reyes Victoria, an intrinsic approach in the curved *n*-body problem: the negative curvature case, *J. Differ. Equations***252**, 8 (2012), 4529-4562.

[13] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature, arXiv:0807.1747, 54 p.

[14] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part I: Relative equilibria, (2010), 34 p. (submitted to J. of Nonlinear Sci.).

[15] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part II: Singularities, (2010), 14 p. (submitted to J. Nonlinear Sci.).

[16] F. Diacu, B. Thorn, Rectangular orbits of the curved 4-body problem, arXiv:1302.5352, 12 p.

[17] W. Killing, Die Rechnung in den nichteuklidischen Raumformen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **89** (1880), 265–287.

[18] W. Killing, Die Mechanik in den nichteuklidischen Raumformen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **98** (1885), 1–48.

[19] W. Killing, *Die Nicht-Eukildischen Raumformen in Analytischer Behandlung*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1885.

[20] V.V. Kozlov and A.O. Harin, Kepler's problem in constant curvature spaces, *Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom.* **54** (1992), 393–399.

[21] H. Liebmann, Die Kegelschnitte und die Planetenbewegung im nichteuklidischen Raum, *Berichte Königl. Sächsischen Gesell. Wiss., Math. Phys. Klasse* **54** (1902), 393–423.

[22] H. Liebmann, Über die Zentralbewegung in der nichteuklidische Geometrie, *Berichte Königl. Sächsischen Gesell. Wisse., Math. Phys. Klasse* **55** (1903), 146–153.

[23] H. Liebmann, *Nichteuklidische Geometrie*, G.J. Göschen, Leipzig, 1905; 2nd ed. 1912; 3rd ed. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin Leipzig, 1923.

[24] N.I. Lobachevsky, The new foundations of geometry with full theory of parallels [in Russian], 1835-1838, In Collected Works, V. 2, GITTL, Moscow, 1949, p. 159.

[25] E. Schering, Die Schwerkraft im Gaussischen Räume, *Nachr. Königl. Gesell. Wiss. Göttingen*, 13 July, 15 (1873), 311–321.

[26] E. Schering, Die Schwerkraft in mehrfach ausgedehnten Gaussischen und Riemmanschen Räumen, *Nachr. Königl. Gesell. Wiss. Göttingen*, **26** February, 6 (1873), 149–159.

[27] M. Shub, Relative Equilibria and Diagonals, appendix to S. Smale's paper "Problems on the Nature of Relative Equilibria in Celestial Mechanics", Manifolds, Proc. MUFFIC Summer School on Manifolds, Amsterdam, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **197**, (1971)
199–201.

[28] S. Smale, Mathematical problems for the next century, *Mathematical Intelligencer* **20**, (1998) 7–15.

[29] P. Tibboel, Polygonal homographic orbits in spaces of constant curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1465–1471 .

[30] P. Tibboel, Existence of a class of rotopulsators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).

[31] P. Tibboel, Existence of a lower bound for the distance between point masses of relative equilibria in spaces of constant curvature, arXiv:1401.3058, 9p.